FFY2019 VT BoS CoC RENEW Project Scoring Tool

Project Name:	Project Agency:		
Evaluator Name:	Date:		
THRESHOLD			

TURESULA		
THRESHOLD	Yes	
1 Project proposes to serve an eligible population for the project type		No
2. Project proposes to use eligible costs for the project type		No
3. Project applicant and subrecipient are eligible entities	Yes	No
4. Match is greater than or equal to 25%	Yes Yes	No
5. Project agrees to participate in Coordinated Entry System and HMIS		No
6. Project agrees to use Housing First principles and be low barrier		No
IF ANY ANSWERS ARE 'NO' PROJECT DOES NOT MEET THRESHOLD	Points	
Part A: Project Type (Maximum Points – 20)		Awarded
1. Permanent Supportive Housing (Shelter Plus Care)		
2. Rapid Re-Housing (RRH)		
Part B: VT HMIS or Comparable Database Data Quality & APR (Maximum Points - 6)*		
1. APR Data Quality (most recent APR): All data elements are 0% missing elements		
Part C. Performance (Maximum Points – 58)*		
Participant Project Performance (Source: HMIS or Comparable Database)		
ALL PROJECTS		
1. % of households that have increased non-employment income at exit: 35% or more	11 6	
% of households that have increased non-employment income at exit: 25 % to 34%		
% of households that have increased non-employment income at exit: 20 % to 24%	3	
% of households that have increased non-employment income at exit: 19% or less	0	
2. Employment: % participants with increased employment income at exit: 25% or more	10 5	
Employment: % participants with increased employment income at exit: 19% to 24%		
Employment: % participants with increased employment income at exit: 11% to 18%		
Employment: % participants with increased employment income at exit: 10% or less	0	
3. Non-Cash Benefits: % HHs with at least one source: 85% or higher		
4. Returns to Homelessness		
% of households who returned to homelessness at exit: 10% or less	10	
% of households who returned to homelessness at exit: 11% or higher	5	
SEVERITY OF NEEDS and VULNERABILITY		
5. Admission Income: % of households who had zero income at entry: 30% or higher	2	
% of households who had zero income at entry: 10-29%	1	
% of households who had zero income at entry: Less than 10%	0	
6. People entering with a disability		
% of households who have a disabiling condition of long-duration at entry: 20% or higher	2	
% of households who have a disabiling condition of long-duration at entry: 10-19%		
% of households who have a disabiling condition of long-duration at entry: Less than 10%	0	
Continue to page 2		

Continue to page 2

Project Name:	Project Agency:
Evaluator Name:	Date:

		Points	Awarded
PROJECTS DEDICATED TO SURVIVORS OR RUN BY VICTIM SERVICE PROVIDER	-		
	0% or more	9	
	5% to 79%	5	
Housing Stability: % HHs maintaining or exiting to permanent housing: 64	1% or less	0	
3. Safety of survivors improved: 90% or more		6	
Safety of survivors improved: 80% to 89%		4	
Safety of survivors improved: 79% or less		0	
PROJECTS NOT DEDICATED TO SURVIVORS OR RUN BY VICTIM SERVICE PROV	/IDERS		
	0% or more	15	
	6% to 89%	8	
	5% to 75%	6	
Housing Stability: % HHs maintaining or exiting to permanent housing: 64	1% or less	0	
Part D. Target Population (Multiple choices allowed; Maximum Points - 10)			
L. 100% Individuals or Families Experiencing Chronic Homelessness or Dedicate	edPLUS population	4	
3. Households with Children under 18		3	
3. Youth (18- 24)		2	
I. Veterans		1	
Part E: Monitoring (Maximum Points - 6)			
1. Drawdown at least monthly		2	
2. Utilization rate of housing units at least 95% *		1	
3. Recaptured funds is less than 10% of total award *		2	
4. Cost effectiveness is at or below \$9,500 per unit/year (Total HUD CoC funds/number of units)		1	
FINAL SCORE (N	Maximum Points = 100)	TOTAL	

^{*}If project is a first time renewal or has not completed a full operating it will receive full points on this question