Methodology for VT-500 - Vermont Balance of State CoC

Sheltered Population Total

1. What data source(s) was used to produce the total number of people included in the sheltered population (staying in an emergency shelter, Safe Haven, or transitional housing) on the night of the count? Please indicate the percentage of the PIT count derived from each of the sources. (If a source was not used, please enter zero).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Source</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HMIS Data</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provider-level surveys</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Client-level surveys</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observation</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Was the CoC able to collect information about the number of people being sheltered on the night of the count from all emergency shelters, Safe Havens, and transitional housing projects listed on the HIC or only some? listed on your HIC or only some?
   - Complete census count

3. What information or method(s) was used to de-duplicate the count of the total number of people included in the sheltered population?
   - Comparison of personally identifying information (PII), such as name, date of birth, and Social Security Number
   - Comparison of unique client identifiers (not PII)
   - Blitz count of persons in shelters (i.e., count occurred at same time to avoid double counting)
   - Interview/survey question(s) with screening questions (e.g., have you already completed a count survey)

Sheltered Subpopulation

4. What data source(s) was used to produce the demographic and subpopulation data included in the sheltered population (staying in an emergency shelter, Safe Haven, or transitional housing) on the night of the count? (select all that were used)
   - HMIS Data
1. What data source(s) was used to produce the total number of people included in the sheltered population (staying in an emergency shelter, Safe Haven, or transitional housing) on the night of the count? Please indicate the percentage of the PIT count derived from each of the sources. (If a source was not used, please enter zero).
5. Was the CoC able to collect information about the demographic and subpopulation characteristics of all sheltered people or only some?
   - All sheltered people

6. Looking at the change in your sheltered count from last year's count, please choose up to three reasons that best explains these changes from the drop down list below.
   - Change in emergency shelter capacity
   - Change in rapid re-housing capacity
   - Weather

Please provide a brief description of these specific factors (500 word limit):

Several new Emergency Shelter & Transitional Housing projects were funded as the result of CoC advocacy and repurposing of some existing State funds (GA Motel Program).

In addition, several new short-term Rapid Rehousing Programs funded with state-funds were added to this year's HIC thanks to the assistance of the new VT HMIS Lead/Administrator and provider utilization of VT HMIS.

New CoC RRH project were awarded/implemented for the VT BoS CoC.

The winter of 2015-2016 saw mild weather resulting in unexpectedly low utilization of the VT AHS-GA Motel Program.

However, there is also consensus that the drastic decline in the homeless count is also due to several new projects and energy directed to ending homelessness.

Unsheltered Population

7. What approach(es) was used to count the total number of people included in the unsheltered population during the PIT count. (select all that were used)
   - “Night of the count” - known locations
7a. Were certain areas within the CoC geography specifically excluded because the CoC had reason to believe there were no unsheltered people in those areas?

Yes

7b. How did the CoC select the areas that were included for canvassing?

- Areas were not selected randomly, but an effort was made to use local knowledge to target known locations (e.g., areas with known concentrations of unsheltered homeless people)

7b1. Did the CoC adjust the information in some way (e.g., statistical adjustment or extrapolation) to account for areas within the CoC geography that were not canvassed but where unsheltered people might have been on the night of the PIT count?

No

7c. In areas that were canvassed, did the CoC count all unsheltered people in those areas or a sample of people?

- All people encountered during the count

8. What information or method(s) was used to de-duplicate the total count of people in the unsheltered population? (Check all that apply)

- Comparison of personally identifying information (PII), such as name, date of birth, and Social Security Number
- Comparison of unique client identifiers (not PII)
Unsheltered Subpopulations

9. What approach(es) was used to collect demographic and subpopulation data about unsheltered people included in the unsheltered population during the PIT count?
   - Surveys/interviews of people identified as unsheltered on the night of the PIT count
   - Surveys/interviews of people identified as unsheltered on the night of the count, but completed at a later date
   - Surveys/interviews of people identified within 7 days following the night of the PIT count night who may have been unsheltered on the night of the PIT count (e.g., “service-based” surveys at locations where people who are homeless go for assistance)

10. Were all people who were encountered during canvassing on the night of the count or during post night of the count PIT activities asked to complete a survey/interview?
    - All people encountered were surveyed

11. What information or method(s) was used to produce an unduplicated total count of homeless people across your sheltered and unsheltered populations?
    - Comparison of personally identifying information (PII), such as name, date of birth, and Social Security Number
    - Comparison of unique client identifiers (not PII)
    - Blitz count of unsheltered people (i.e., sheltered and unsheltered counts occurred at same time to avoid double counting)
    - Interview/survey question(s) with screening questions (e.g., have you already completed a count survey)

12. Looking at the change in your unsheltered count from last year's count, please choose up to three reasons that best explains these changes from the drop down list below
    - Change in participation of programs serving subpopulations (e.g., veterans, youth)
    - Impact of Housing First practices

Please provide a brief description of these specific factors (500 word limit):

The unsheltered persons count likely increased due to increased awareness and attention to ending chronic homelessness, particularly in Rutland County where a new Housing First Permanent Supportive Housing project (VSHA Shelter+Care/Welcome Home Program) came online. Additional awareness resulted in increased participation of mental health advocacy/outreach programs (i.e. Another Way in Washington County). Windham County is experiencing a high level of chronic homelessness with reasons yet to be determined.