RENEWAL PROJECTS 7/26/2023

FY2023 VT BoS CoC RENEWAL Project Scoring Tool

| Project Name: | Project Agency: | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------|----|
| Evaluator Name: | Date: | | |
| | | | |
| THRESHOLD | | | |
| 1 Project proposes to serve an e | ligible population for the project type | Yes | No |
| 2. Project proposes to use eligibl | e costs for the project type | Yes | No |
| 3. Project applicant and subrecip | pient are eligible entities | Yes | No |
| 4. Match is greater than or equa | l to 25% | Yes | No |
| 5. Project agrees to participate in | n Coordinated Entry System and HMIS (or comparable DB) | Yes | No |
| 6. Project agrees to use Housing | First principles and be low barrier | Yes | No |
| | IE ANV ANSWERS ARE 'NO' PROJECT DOES NOT MEET THRESHOL | יום י | - |

| nts - 6). If project is a I points. ents or has not completed | 6 | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| I points. ents | 10 10 2 2 | |
| I points. ents | 10 2 2 2 | |
| I points. ents | 2 2 | |
| I points. ents | 6 | |
| ents | _ | |
| | _ | |
| or has not completed | 4 | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| RRH | | |
| 35% or more | 6 | |
| 25% to 34% | 4 | |
| 20% to 24% | 2 | |
| 19% or less | 0 | |
| | | |
| RRH | | |
| 20% or more | 5 | |
| 11% to 19% | 3 | |
| 6% to 10% | 1 | |
| 5% or less | 0 | |
| | 8 | . <u></u> |
| | RRH 20% or more 11% to 19% 6% to 10% | RRH 20% or more 5 11% to 19% 3 6% to 10% 1 5% or less 0 |

RENEWAL PROJECTS 7/26/2023

| 4. Returns to Homelessness - % of households who returned to homelessness at exit: | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|--|
| 10% or less | 10 | |
| 11% or higher | 5 | |

| | | | Points |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| SEVERITY OF NEEDS and VULNERABII | ITY | | |
| 5. Admission Income: % of households who had zero income at entry: 30% or higher | | 2 | |
| | | 10-29% | 1 |
| | | Less than 10% | 0 |
| | | | |
| 6. People entering with a disability: % | of households who have | e a disabling condition of long-dura | tion at entry |
| | | 20% or higher | 2 |
| | | 10-19% | 1 |
| | | Less than 10% | 0 |
| | | | |
| EXITS TO PERMANENT HOUSING | | | |
| PROJECTS DEDICATED TO SURVIVORS | OR RUN BY VICTIM SER | VICE PROVIDERS | |
| 7. Housing Stability: PSH % who stay | ed in PSH or exited to PH | or RRH % of exited to PH | |
| - , , | 90% or more | 80% or more | 15 |
| | 75% - 89% | 65% to 79 | 10 |
| | 74% or less | 64% or less | 0 |
| | | | |
| 8. Safety of survivors improved: 90% or more | | 5 | |
| · | | 80% to 89% | 3 |
| | | 79% or less | 0 |
| | | | |
| PROJECTS NOT DEDICATED TO SURVI | VORS OR RUN BY VICTIM | I SERVICE PROVIDERS | |
| 9. Housing Stability - PSH % who staye | ed in PSH or exited to PH | or RRH % of exited to PH | |
| , | 90% or more | 80% or more | 20 |
| | 80% - 89% | 70% to 79 | 15 |
| | 70% - 79% | 60% to 69 | 5 |
| | 69% or less | 59% or less | 0 |
| | | | |
| RAPID EXIT - LENGTH OF TIME HOME | LESS | | |
| PROJECTS DEDICATED TO SURVIVORS | OR RUN BY VICTIM SER | VICE PROVIDERS | |
| 10. Rapid Exit: Average days from pro | ject entry to residential r | move-in: 45 days or less | 6 |
| , , , | , | , 46-90 days | 4 |
| | | 91-120 days | 3 |
| | | 121+ days | 0 |
| | | ,- | |
| PROJECTS NOT DEDICATED TO SURVI | VORS OR RUN BY VICTIM | 1 SERVICE PROVIDERS | |
| 11. Rapid Exit: Average days from pro | | | |
| | PSH | RRH | |
| | 60 days or less | 30 days or less | 6 |
| | 61 - 90 days | 31-90 days | 4 |
| | OI JO days | SI JO days | |

RENEWAL PROJECTS 7/26/2023

| 91-120 days 91-120 days | 2 | |
|-------------------------|---|--|
| 121+ days 121+ days | 0 | |

| | Points |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| Part D. Target Population (Multiple choices allowed; Maximum Points - 10) | |
| 1. 100% Individuals or Families Experiencing Chronic Homelessness or DedicatedPLUS population | 6 |
| 2. Households with Children under 18 | 4 |
| | |
| Part E: Monitoring (Maximum Points - 6) | |
| 1. Drawdown at least every 60 days | 2 |
| 2. Utilization rate of housing units at least 95% * | 1 |
| 3. Recaptured funds is less than 10% of total award * | 2 |
| 4. Cost effectiveness is at or below \$10,500 per unit/year (Total HUD CoC funds/number of units) | 1 |
| Part F: Equity Factors (Maximum Points - 4) | |
| 1. Agency management and leadership positions include representation from any of the following groups: black, indigenous, people of color, people who identify as LGBTQIA+? | 1 |
| 2. Board of Directors (or equivalent decision making entity) includes representation from any of the following groups: black, indigenous, people of color, people who identify as LGBTQIA+? | 1 |
| 3. Board of Directors (or equivalent decision making entity) include representation from persons with lived experience? | 1 |
| 4. Applicant will commit to working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS/Comparable database data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age within the next year. | 1 |
| FINAL SCORE (Maximum Points = 104) | TOTAL 0 |

| ı | coc runking reum comments. |
|---|----------------------------|
| ı | |
| ı | |
| ı | |
| ı | |
| ı | |
| ı | |
| ı | |
| ı | |
| ı | |
| ı | |
| ı | |
| ı | |
| ı | |
| ı | |
| ı | |
| ı | |
| ı | |
| п | |

*

NEW PROJECTS 7/26/2023

FY23 VT BoS CoC NEW Project Scoring Tool

| Project Name: | Project Agency: | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| Evaluator Name: | Date: | |

| Evaluator Name. | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|---------|
| THRESHOLD - ALL PROJECTS | | |
| 1 Project proposes to serve an eligible population for the project type | Yes | No |
| 2. Project proposes to use eligible costs for the project type | Yes | No |
| 3. Project applicant and subrecipient are eligible entities | Yes | No |
| 4. Match is greater than or equal to 25% | Yes | No |
| 5. Project agrees to participate in Coordinated Entry System and VT HMIS or comparable database for | | |
| victim service providers? | Yes | No |
| 6. Project agrees to use Housing First principles and be low barrier | Yes | No |
| IF ANY ANSWERS ARE 'NO' PROJECT DOES NOT MEET THRESHOLD | | |
| THRESHOLD - DV BONUS PROJECTS ONLY | | |
| 7. All participants in the project will meet the qualifications of Category 4 of the homeless definition | | |
| which includes fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence- as amended 10/1/21 (Sec. I.3.f of | | |
| NOFO) | Yes | No |
| IF ANY ANSWERS ARE 'NO' PROJECT DOES NOT MEET THRESHOLD | | |
| | | |
| Part A: Project Type (Maximum Points – 20) | Points | Awarded |
| 1. Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) | 18 | |
| 2.0. (10.11.) (2011) | | |

| Part A: Project Type (Maximum Points – 20) | Points | Awarded |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| 1. Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) | 18 | |
| 2. Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) | 20 | |
| 3. Joint Transitional Housing-RRH (TH-RRH) | 5 | |
| Project proposes to utilize "new budget line items" (Sec. I.3.d of the NOFO): | | |
| VAWA activities, at or above 1% of total project costs | 2 | |
| Rural activities, at or above 3% of total project costs | 2 | |
| Part B: VT HMIS or Comparable Database Participation (Maximum Points - 5) | | |
| 1. Does agency participate in VT HMIS or a comparable database if VSP for other homeless dedicated projects? I applicant has no other homeless-dedicated projects they will receive full points. | | |
| Yes | 5 | |
| No | 0 | |
| Part C. Experience and Project Description (Maximum Points – 50) | | |
| Participant Project Performance | | |
| 1. Does the applicant describe their experience with administering PSH and/or RRH projects? | Up to 6 | |
| DV projects - also must address how safety outcomes have been met in the past. | | |
| 2. Does the applicant have past experience in leveraging other funds to support housing projects? | Up to 2 | |
| 3. How many months before the project is projected to be near 100% capacity | | |
| Within 4 months | 2 | |
| Within 6 months | 1 | |
| More than 6 months or no response | 0 | |
| | | |
| 4. Does the applicant describe having in place the basic organization and management structure needed to successfully implement the project? | Up to 6 | |
| | | |

NEW PROJECTS 7/26/2023

5. Does the project description meet a CoC identified need and provide a clear description of project—type, scale, location and supportive services project outcomes to obtain and/or remain in permanent—housing?

5. Will the project type, scale, location, and services allow participants to obtain and/or remain in permanent housing?

| | Points | Awarded |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|---------|
| landlord engagement strategies? | Up to 9 | |
| DV projects must also address past experience in housing helping survivors in obtain and retain PH. | | |
| DV projects must also address how safety outcomes will be met in the future. | | |
| 7. Does project description clearly describe how linkages to employment and other mainstream income resources and services will occur? | Up to 8 | |
| 8. Is the proposed budget clear and realistic to carryout proposed activities? | Up to 7 | |
| Part D. Target Population (Multiple choices allowed; Maximum Points - 16) | | |
| 1. What is the target population of the grant? | | |
| 100% Individuals or Families Experiencing Chronic Homelessness or DedicatedPLUS populations | 8 | |
| Households with Children under 18 | 5 | |
| 2. Will the project prioritize people with zero income from the referrals received from the Coordinated Entry System? | | |
| Yes | 3 | |
| No | 0 | |
| Part E: Monitoring (Maximum Points - 5) | | |
| 1. Does applicant have an agency representative who participates in at least 75% of CoC meetings? | 2 | |
| 2. Does the applicant have any unresolved HUD Monitoring and/or OIG Audit findings covering any | | |
| previous grant? Yes = 0 points No=full points | 1 | |
| 3. Cost effectiveness is at or below \$10,500 per unit/year (Total HUD CoC funds/number of units) | | |
| Yes=full pt No=0 points | 2 | |
| Part F: Equity Factors (Maximum Points - 4) | | |
| Agency management and leadership staff include people from typically under-represented populations (black, indigenous, people of color, people who identify as LGBTQIA+, etc.)? | 1 | |
| | 1 | |
| 2. Board of Directors (or equivalent decision making entity) include people from typically under-represented populations (black, indigenous, people of color, people who identify as LGBTQIA+, etc.) | | |
| 3. Board of Directors (or equivalent decision making entity) includes representation from more than one person with lived experience of being unhoused or DV | 1 | |
| 4. Applicant will commit to working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS/ | 1 | |
| Comparable database data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/ or age within | 1 | |
| the next year 12 months of grant award. | | |
| FINAL SCORE (Maximum Points = 104 | I) TOTAL | |
| CoC Ranking Team comments: | , IOIAL | |
| coc nanking ream comments. | | |
| | | |
| | | |